

Adrienne Thompson

From: Annemarie <aweibel@mcn.org>
Sent: Wednesday, May 18, 2022 5:38 AM
To: pbscommissions
Subject: AT&T's plans for Cell Tower in Manchester on land owned by AT&T Corporation, CASE#: U_2017-0036
Planning & Building Services

Dear Commissioners,
I am hereby resubmitting the comments I submitted for the May 16, 2019 planning commission meeting about a previous version of this project.

I was present for the meeting in Ukiah (not a virtual meeting) and do to the fact that the applicant requested a continuance to a date uncertain no one was able to comment on the project. Not even commissioners were able to comment. Staff was recommending denial of this project. See video from May 16, 2019 that had 225 views as not many people were able and willing to travel from their homes in the County to Ukiah. 7 projects were discussed, among them 5 new wireless telecommunication facilities for Albion, Manchester, Potter Valley, Comptche, and Boonville. See meeting item 6d (Manchester).
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=h4rtOHA3XI>

I signed the petition that was signed by a total of 49 local citizens with the goal to stop this project above Manchester State Beach. No planning commissioner or staff mentioned the petitions. They are included under public comment on the web page.

I am interested to know if anyone attempted to contact these 49 people to let them know of the new application. I was not notified. If it is the Planning and Building Services Department's regulation to not notify people in a case like this, why is it not explained to attendees at these meetings that each person needs to let the County know if they want to be notified about future versions for that particular site.

How many people were notified?

Where and when was it announced?

Thank you, Annemarie Weibel
5-18-2022

----- Forwarded Message -----
Subject: AT&T's plans for Cell Tower in Manchester on land owned by AT&T Corporation, CASE#: U_2017-0036
Date: Wed, 15 May 2019 13:15:22 -0700
From: Annemarie <aweibel@mcn.org>
To: pbs@mendocinocounty.org

To Mendocino County Planning & Building Commissioners,

I am opposed to this tower. I have lived in Mendocino County since 1978.
I am a mother, teacher, tax payer and vote here.

Mendocino County adopted the Precautionary Principle Policy #43 on June 27, 2006. Please do not make any decisions until all have read this policy. See https://linkprotect.cudasvc.com/url?a=https%3a%2f%2fwww.mendocinocounty.org%2fhome%2fshowdocument%3fid%3d1926&c=E,1,dsvY80v6mgo9ZY01gVyt-E6hhl6VKtnLVJfvt2CeR2-CsGIPvfY0GJCS20i4mCSvGdSByQVGFu9pNbr68IDDA-VQBcJyXlrmRK0bSWal_ceqETQbOAE5ZbM,&typo=1

You say: "The Wireless Facility will serve the community with High Speed Broadband Wireless Internet, Mobile Phone Services, and enhance public safety."

I disagree and will list additional comments below. Many citizens can not speak up in person as they are so sensitive to radiation that they can not be in this room.

AT&T wants to install a cell tower on land belonging to the AT&T Corporation. The cell tower planned for Manchester would be surrounded by Manchester State Park and is next to a KOA campground and the highly scenic Highway 1. The land is owned by the AT&T Corporation. The tower would be located next to agricultural lands. The site is designated as a Highly Scenic Area and adjacent lands contain federally listed endangered species. The intent of the Public and Semipublic Facilities classification is to designate existing major public and community serving uses that should not be converted to other uses unless a plan amendment is approved. The parcel is located in an area classified with a "Moderate Fire Hazard" severity rating. The (WCFD) Guidelines are not yet part of the Certified LCP yet. Staff recommended denial of the project due to inconsistencies with visual resource policies and protection of environmentally sensitive habitat areas.

I am asking for an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) versus just a Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) if in case the commissioners vote in favor of this plan and do not consider the staff's recommendation to denial this application.

Why is it that the public has no way online to read the comments provided by certain agencies? That is missing. Before any decisions are made the public needs to be able to see these. They need to be included online. Why was that not done?

Please read the history of microwaves since 1977 and its devastating effects before you adopt this plan. https://linkprotect.cudasvc.com/url?a=http%3a%2f%2fwww.cellphonetaskforce.org%2fwp-content%2fuploads%2f2011%2f06%2fmicrowaves_2011.pdf&c=E,1,mpflWGMvZnYiUljqkgwxF4Xf3Y9znf7fNjLQBruq0vXyYOcO_7-OFAYrDYPS4eHhldRZgof40uuQxlcP0Be3hgalHOyVgJdh6b-RHRkXF6Qgyi5Xf6j&typo=1

We need fiber-optic cables versus cell towers that helps with our telephone system, the cable TV system and the Internet. Fiber-optic lines are strands of optically pure glass as thin as a human hair that carry digital information over long distances. They are also used in medical imaging and mechanical engineering inspection. They are a viable alternative, are completely safe (and at least 100 times faster than WiFi), and will be well worth the time and effort needed to install.

We need to make it possible for people who are sensitive to this technology, older people, children and all who are being radiated through space to survive. Please read this before you adopt this plan. See <https://linkprotect.cudasvc.com/url?a=http%3a%2f%2fwww.cellphonetaskforce.org%2fwifi-in-the-sky%2f&c=E,1,5-OIHmYG5Jj1L5G3NnX9V8TSN7kCENdASSuuziNZ6S45k4Z0MDRTB-k3yz6WAXf20Fj4HyREdG3E1OawrM8cV4q8JguJQxv9Yjcu-fv1hiMihgbj5Lur6jD2eBo,&typo=1>

URGENCY ORDINANCE NO. 947-2019

The latest California guidelines regarding exposure to cell tower radiation and recommendations that they not be sited on, or next to, Hospitals, Fire Stations and Police Stations.

The California Firefighters Association has announced that, because of the health hazards, it doesn't want cell towers or antennas close to any fire stations. Please read this before you adopt this plan.

https://linkprotect.cudasvc.com/url?a=https%3a%2f%2fwww.activistpost.com%2f2019%2f03%2fbecausefirefighters-the-international-association-of-firefighters-iaff-opposes-cell-towers-and-antennas-on-stations-due-to-health-risks-this-is-why-they-shouldnt-be-installed-near-homes.htm&c=E,1,H0xQHDF4LZbeh!USRy6A4rRBwCIEvCstRG9R4jBF_HVDr7FV9YrrVF84CJulcu5FeckyAIJLtGhIkYAHCZelbdkUcAZ_O_SfARiTzmqOelAacQhKfTo,&typo=1

And the LA School District, the second largest in the country, has banned WiFi from all their classrooms out of concern for children and staff. Computers will be hard-wired, and all WiFi gadgets are banned.

Please read the APPEAL to stop 5G on Earth and in Space before you adopt this plan. There are 90,566 signatories from at least 168 countries as of May 15, 2019.

https://linkprotect.cudasvc.com/url?a=https%3a%2f%2fwww.5gspaceappeal.org%2fthe-appeal&c=E,1,5z_DTgHTWXGZCVc3jZOQ_biDRLPpqNnnccXZ6rXVMpJ9BauJNok_EazvtGEPKqDIEDdIX_4JtCY-WqmCtUjsjZgMwMIARA1h53kwGhh&typo=1

It would be good to know if it is possible to colocate 5G on these towers as we need to keep Mendocino County residents safe! If that is the case then we can not permit this tower.

The system is rigged if the public has no say about what we feel are safe emissions as denial on the basis of radio frequency emissions is prohibited if those emissions are below the standards as determined by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). The emissions tolerated here are much higher than the emissions tolerated in Europe.

Thanks for considering my comments,
Annemarie Weibel, Albion
5-15-19

