

Mendocino County

OCT 18 2021

Planning & Building Services

From: John Mark <countrydown12@gmail.com>
To: <pbscommissions@mendocinocounty.org>
CC: Jeanne DeJong <jeanne@jeannedejong.com>
Date: 10/18/2021 12:45 PM
Subject: Case #MS-2020-0007

Comment on MS_2020-007. Minor subdivision of 144.78+/-acre parcel into two parcels.

>>>

>>> Commission Staff,

>>>

>>> My name is John Mark , I'm a 68.5% owner of this property(AP 049-370-63). I object to this subdivision attempt by my son Bodhi Mark.

>>> The property has been under a superior court ordered settlement agreement and a Power of Attorney agreement for the last four and a half years. Both agreements entered into and signed by Bodhi Mark and myself.

>>> The four years of settlement agreement expired on March 30, 2021.

>>

>>> At present I have had To go back to court, to ask the court to enforce the Power of Attorney to sell the property.

>>

>>> As per the original settlement agreement Bodhi had four years to buy me out or subdivide the property. He did nothing for three years and ten months, then made application to the county for minor subdivision . He's now using this application to stall the sale by a registered fiduciary, as laid out in our Power of Attorney .

>>

>>> The mortgage has been being paid 90% by me and I'm now 75 years old and wish to retire and move on.

>>

>>> I've not been kept informed about the subdivision.

>>> Haven't had any input into maps and only found out that this public hearing was to take place from a neighbor.

>>

>>> My original signature was given before the four year settlement period had run out, during that period I was under agreement to help when asked. Once the four year agreement between us ran out I had the right, per Power of Attorney, to have a fiduciary sell the property. At that point I contacted planning to try to have my name and consent removed from the application. But never heard back from planing.

>>

>>> So again as a 68.5% owner, I do not agree to this subdivision of my property.

>>>

>>> Thank you for your consideration, John Mark

>>>